Aleksandrov's estimate and its generalizations (... and lack thereof)

Nestor Guillen Texas State University

Midwest Geometry Conference March 2022 The following is based partly on past works with Russell Schwab and with Jun Kitagawa

(both currently at Michigan State, coincidentally)

1.A nonlocal Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci estimate

Consider the elliptic integro-differential equation

$$Lu(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x)) (A(x)\hat{h}, \hat{h}) |h|^{-d-\alpha} dh$$

where $\alpha \in (0,2), A(x) \ge 0$ and $tr(A(x)) \ge \lambda$ for all x, and

$$\hat{h} := \frac{h}{|h|}$$

1.A nonlocal Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci estimate

Given a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\phi(x) = C(d,\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\phi(x+h) - \phi(x))\hat{h} \otimes \hat{h}|h|^{-d-\alpha} dh$$

This we will refer to as the Fractional Hessian of order α .

$$\left(\text{Observe that }\lim_{\alpha\to 2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\phi(x) = D^2\phi(x) + \frac{\Delta\phi(x)}{d+2}I\right)$$

1.A nonlocal Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci estimate

The operator Lu(x) can be written as

 $\operatorname{tr}(A(x)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}u(x))$

which one should think about as a (poor) non-local imitation of $\operatorname{tr}(A(x)D^2u(x))$

1.A nonlocal Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci estimate

A function ϕ will be said to be α -convex in D, if

 $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\phi(x) \ge 0 \; \forall \; x \in D,$

It is not difficult to see the maximum of two α -convex functions is still convex.

1.A nonlocal Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci estimate

For $u: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ non-negative outside D we consider,

 $\phi_u(x) = \max\{\phi(x) \mid \phi \le u \text{ and } \phi \text{ is } \alpha \text{-convex in } D\}$

this we will call the α -convex envelope of u.

1.A nonlocal Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci estimate

Theorem (G.-Schwab, 2012)

Suppose that $Lu(x) \leq f(x)$ in $D, u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$. Then

$$\|u_-\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C(D,\lambda,\alpha) \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{\alpha,u})}^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{d}(\Gamma_{\alpha,u})}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha,u} = \{x \in D \mid u = \phi_u\}$, the contact set of u and ϕ_u .

2.An Aleksandrov estimate for c-convex functions

For a Riemannian manifold M, define the quadratic cost

$$c(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$$

A function $\phi: M \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be c-affine if

$$\phi(x) = -c(x, y_0) + \alpha_0$$

for some $y_0 \in M$ and some $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.An Aleksandrov estimate for c-convex functions

A function which is the supremum of a family of c-affine functions will be called c-convex

$$\phi(x) = \sup_{i} \{-c(x, y_i) + \alpha_i\}$$

If u is c-convex, its subdifferential at x is defined as

$$\partial^{c} u(x_{0}) := \{ y \mid \exists \alpha \text{ s.t. } u(x) \ge -c(x, y) + \alpha \forall x \\ u(x_{0}) = -c(x_{0}, y) + \alpha \}$$

2. An Aleksandrov estimate for c-convex functions

Problem: Determine the class of costs for which an estimate of the following type holds

$$||(u-\phi)_{-}||_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C|D|^{\frac{1}{d}}|\partial^{c}u(D)|^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

2.An Aleksandrov estimate for c-convex functions

Theorem (Figalli-Kim-McCann 2013, G.-Kitagawa 2014)

Essentially, the costs for which the above estimate holds are those satisfying the A3w condition of Ma-Trudinger-Wang.

These seemingly unrelated results are different generalizations of one of the most consequential (and in my opinion, most underrated) facts in convex geometry, the Aleksandrov estimate.

This talk

- 1. What is the Aleksandrov estimate?
- 2. Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate
 - Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients
 - $C^{1,\alpha}$ theory for the real Monge-Ampère equation
- 3. Theories that could use an Aleksandrov-type estimate
- 4. New Aleksandrov-type estimates
 - In optimal transport and geometric optics
 - In integro-differential equations
- 5. A non-local Jacobian equation (if there is time)

1. What is the Aleksandrov estimate?

Theorem (Aleksandrov)

Given a convex body D and $h: D \to \mathbb{R}$ convex with $h \mid_{\partial D} = 0$,

$$||h||_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C_d |D|^{\frac{1}{d}} |\nabla h(D)|^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

The Aleksandrov estimate The (reverse) Blashcke-Santaló inequality

For a convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ its **polar dual** is the set

$$D^* = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x \cdot y \le 1 \forall \ x \in D \}$$

The (reverse) Blashcke-Santaló inequality

Theorem (Blashcke-Santaló)

If the center of mass of D is at the origin, then

 $|D||D^*| \ge c_d$

for some dimensional constant c_d .

The Aleksandrov estimate The (reverse) Blashcke-Santaló inequality

If h is convex, h = 0 on ∂D , and h = -1 at x_c = center of D,

 $\nabla h(D) = D^*$

If $h: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function and h = 0 on ∂D , then

$$||h||_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C_d |D|^{\frac{1}{d}} |\nabla h(D)|^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

If h is twice differentiable, then

$$|\nabla h(D)| = \int_{\nabla h(D)} dx = \int_D \det(D^2 h) dx$$

Therefore,

$$||h||_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C_d |D|^{\frac{1}{d}} \left(\int_D \det(D^2 h) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

This estimate is essential for the following theorems...

• The Krylov-Safonov estimates for non-divergence equations

$$\operatorname{tr}(A(x)D^2u) = f \Rightarrow ||u||_{C^{\alpha}} \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}}, A, f)$$

• The Evans-Krylov theorem for convex elliptic equations

$$F(D^{2}u) = f \Rightarrow ||u||_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}}, F, f)$$

• Caffarelli's estimates for optimal transport maps in \mathbb{R}^n

$$det(D^2u(x)) = \frac{f(x)}{g(\nabla u(x))} \Rightarrow x + \nabla u(x)$$
 is C^{α} and injective

- ... also essential for these theorems
- Stroock and Varadhan's solution of the Martingale problem
- Nirenberg-Varadhan Strong maximum principles
- \bullet Caffarelli's $W^{2,p}$ estimates for fully nonlinear equations
- Stochastic homogenization (Caffarelli-Souganidis-Wang, Armstrong-Smart, Schwab)

2. Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate

Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate

- 1. Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients
- 2. $C^{1,\alpha}$ theory for the real Monge-Ampère equation

Consider a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and an operator

$$Lu(x) = tr(A(x)D^2u(x))$$

where A(x) is a *diffusion matrix* in D, meaning A(x) is symmetric and there is $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$A(x) \ge \lambda I \; \forall \; x$$

Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate

1. Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients

$$Lu(x) = tr(A(x)D^2u(x))$$

If A(x) is Hölder continuous, then for any p > d/2 we have

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } D\\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \end{cases} \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \leq C(A, D, p)\|f\|_{L^{p}(D)}$$

Here, in fact, we have $C(A,D,p)=C(\lambda,\|A\|_{C^{\alpha}},\alpha,D,p)$

What about nonlinear elliptic equations?

Consider a family of matrices $\{A_i\}_i$ such that $A_i \ge \lambda I$. The Bellman operator associated to this family is defined by

$$F(D^2u) = \inf_i \{ \operatorname{tr}(A_i D^2 u) \}$$

If u solves $F(D^2u) = f(x)$, then one may consider

$$A(x) := A_{i(x)}, \ i(x) := \operatorname{argmin}\{i \to \operatorname{tr}(A_i D^2 u(x))\}$$

One expects u to also solve the linear equation

$$\operatorname{tr}(A(x)D^2u(x)) = f(x)$$

A priori all we know about A(x) is that $A(x) \ge \lambda I$ for all x.

An important fact about PDE has been recognized since at least the time of De Giorgi's and Nash's independent solutions of Hilbert's 19th problem.

Namely:

Any scalar solving a nonlinear PDE solves a linear PDE with potentially discontinuous coefficients

Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate

1. Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients

$$\operatorname{div}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\nabla u) = 0$$

$$\det(D^2 u) = 1$$

$$\inf_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \operatorname{tr}(AD^2u) = f$$

Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate

1. Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients

Question

If we are given A(x), and u solving

$$\operatorname{tr}(A(x)D^2u(x)) = f \text{ in } D, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D$$

Is there an estimate of the form

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C ||f||_{L^{p}(D)}$$

where the constant C does not depend on how smooth A(x) is?.

Suppose D is convex and $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that

 $\operatorname{tr}(A(x)D^2u) \le f \text{ in } D, \ u \ge 0 \text{ on } \partial D.$

Then, the Aleksandrov-Bakelman-Pucci estimate says

$$||u_{-}||_{\infty} \leq C_d \lambda^{-1} |D|^{\frac{1}{d}} ||f||_{L^d(\Gamma_u)}$$

Here, $\Gamma_u := \{x \mid u(x) = h_u(x)\}, h_u := \text{convex envelope of } u.$

This estimate relies on the Aleksandrov estimate!

A key geometric fact about h is that

$$\det(D^2h) = 0 \text{ in } \{u > h\}$$

Therefore, by the Aleksandrov estimate

$$||u_-||_{L^{\infty}}^d \le C_d |D| \int_{\Gamma_u} \det(D^2 h) \, dx$$

We now use an important property of the determinant

 $\det(M)^{\frac{1}{d}} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{d}\operatorname{tr}(BM) \mid \text{ where } B > 0 \text{ and } \det(B) = 1\right\}$

Applying this to $M = D^2 h$ and $B = \det(A)^{-\frac{1}{d}} A$,

$$\det(D^2h) \le \frac{1}{d^d} \det(A)^{-1} \left(\operatorname{tr}(AD^2h) \right)^d$$

Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate 1. Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients

In the set $\Gamma_u = \{u = h\}$ we have

$$0 \le \operatorname{tr}(AD^2h) \le \operatorname{tr}(AD^2u) \le f(x)$$

Then,

$$\det(D^2h) \le \frac{1}{d^d\lambda^d}f(x)^d$$
 in Γ_u

$$\int_D \det(D^2 h) \, dx = \int_{\Gamma_u} \det(D^2 h) \, dx \le \frac{1}{d^d \lambda^d} \int_{\Gamma_u} f(x)^d \, dx$$

Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate 1. Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients

Putting everything together, we arrive at

$$\|u_-\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \leq \frac{1}{d\lambda} C_d |D|^{\frac{1}{d}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_u} f(x)^d dx \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

Two illustrations of the Aleksandrov estimate 1. Uniformly elliptic operators with rough coefficients

A key ingredient in the ABP is the **gradient map**

 $x \mapsto \nabla u(x)$

the image of which controls $||u||_{\infty}$, and whose Jacobian in turn can be estimated in terms of

 $\operatorname{tr}(A(x)D^2u)$

and this was thanks to the extremal nature of the determinant

 $\det(M)^{1/d} = \inf\{\frac{1}{d}\operatorname{tr}(BM) \mid B > 0 \text{ and } \det(B) = 1\}$

Consider D and $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$ convex, such that

 $\lambda \leq \det(D^2 u) \leq \Lambda$

for two constants $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$.

This equation we will understand in a weak sense, namely

$$\lambda|E| \le |\partial u(E)| \le \Lambda|E|$$

for any Borel set $E \subset D$.

Theorem (Caffarelli)

If u is as above, and $\partial u(D)$ is convex, then u is strictly convex and $C^{1,\alpha}$ in the interior of D.

The proof relies on studying the shape of the convex sets

$$S_r(x_0) = \{u(x) \le \ell_{x_0}(x) + r\}$$

where $\ell_{x_0}(x) = u(x_0) + p \cdot (x - x_0)$ and $p \in \partial u(x_0)$.

Theorem (Caffarelli)

The eccentricity of the convex sets $S_h(x_0)$ is controlled as $h \to 0$



(A, shall we say, *impressionistic* overview of the proof)



(A, shall we say, *impressionistic* overview of the proof)



(A, shall we say, *impressionistic* overview of the proof)

The Aleksandrov estimate says

$$r \le C_d |S_r(x_0)|^{\frac{1}{d}} |\nabla u(S_r(x_0))|^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

Using that $det(D^2u) \leq \Lambda$ (in the weak sense)

$$r \le C_d \Lambda^{\frac{1}{d}} |S_r(x_0)|^{\frac{2}{d}}$$



(A, shall we say, *impressionistic* overview of the proof)

The Aleksandrov estimate says

$$r \leq C_d \ell(x_0, S_r) |S_r(x_0)|^{\frac{1}{d}} |\nabla u(S_r(x_0)|^{\frac{1}{d}})$$

Using that $\det(D^2 u) \leq \Lambda$ (in the weak sense)

$$r \le C_d \ell(x_0, S_r) \Lambda^{\frac{1}{d}} |S_r(x_0)|^{\frac{2}{d}}$$



(A, shall we say, *impressionistic* overview of the proof) Using $\det(D^2 u) \ge \lambda$ one shows $r \ge C_d \lambda^{\frac{1}{d}} |S_r(x_0)|^{\frac{2}{d}}$. These estimates combine to give a lower bound on

 $\ell(x_0, S_r)$

from where the "eccentricity" of $S_r(x_0)$ can be controlled.

Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman-Isaac equations

 $\mathcal{I}(u,x) = 0$

Complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \bar{\partial}_k}\right) = f$$

Prescribed σ_k -equation

$$\sigma_k(D^2u) = f$$

Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman-Isaac equations

$$\mathcal{I}(u,x) = 0$$

Complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \bar{\partial}_k}\right) = f$$

An open problem

Prove an estimate of the type

$$\|\nabla u\|_{C^{\alpha}(B_{1/2})} \le C(\|u\|_{\infty}, \lambda, \Lambda)$$

for a plurisubharmonic $u: B_1(\subset \mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ solving

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \bar{\partial}_k}\right) = f, \ \lambda \le f \le \Lambda$$

4. New Aleksandrov-type estimates

The Monge-Kantorovich optimal transport problem consists on minimizing

$$\inf\left\{\int_M c(x,T(x)) \ d\mu(x) \ \mid T_{\#}\mu = \nu\right\}$$

where μ, ν are given probability measures in M.

An important result of Brenier $(M = \mathbb{R}^d)$ and Gangbo-McCann (general M) roughly says that if $\nu \ll d \operatorname{Vol}_M$ then the above problem has a minimizer T, given by

$$T(x) = \exp_x^c(\nabla u(x)), \ u: M \to \mathbb{R}$$
 c-convex

The potential function u solves a Monge-Ampère type equation

$$\det(\nabla^2 u + A_c(x, \nabla u(x))) = \psi_c(x, \nabla u(x))$$

A fundamental object is a tensor, discovered by Ma-Trudinger-Wang, which governs the smoothness of solutions to the above equation.

Theorem (with Jun Kitagawa, 2014)

Let c be a cost function satisfying the A3w condition of Ma-Trudinger-Wang.

If $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset M$ is c-convex with $u = \phi$ on ∂D , where $\phi(x) = -c(x, y_0) + \alpha$ for some $y_0 \in M$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(u(x) - \phi(x))_{-} \le C\ell(x, D)|D|^{\frac{1}{d}}|\partial^{c}u(D)|^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

where ℓ is a function such that $\ell(x, D) \to 0$ as $x \to \partial D$.

Theorem (with Jun Kitagawa, 2014)

Let c be a cost function satisfying the A3w condition of Ma-Trudinger-Wang.

If $u: D \to \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset M$ is c-convex with $u = \phi$ on ∂D , where $\phi(x) = -c(x, y_0) + \alpha$ for some $y_0 \in M$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(u(x) - \phi(x))_{-} \le C\ell(x, D)|D|^{\frac{1}{d}}|\partial^{c}u(D)|^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

where ℓ is a function such that $\ell(x, D) \to 0$ as $x \to \partial D$.

A similar estimate was obtained by Figalli, Kim, and McCann (2013) using different methods.

Later (2019) with Kitagawa we extended this to G-convex functions (Trudinger's Generated Jacobian Equations).

These pointwise estimates are essential in the regularity theory for OT maps with bounded densities, as done in works by Figalli, Kim, and McCann, and in works by G.-Kitagawa.

Moving on, let us talk about nonlocal elliptic equations.

Essentially, all nonlocal elliptic operators are of the form

$$Lu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x+h) - u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot h \, d\nu_x(h)$$

(at least the linear ones)

For every x, ν_x is a **Lévy measure**, i.e. $\nu_x \ge 0$ and

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \min\{1, |h|^2\} \ \nu_x(dh) < \infty$$

$$u : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x)) C_{d,\alpha} |h|^{-d-\alpha} dh$$

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u &= f \text{ in } D\\ u &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D \end{cases}$$

Then, for every $p > 2d/\alpha$,

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C(D,p)||f||_{L^{p}(D)}$$

Fix a nonlocal elliptic opeator L

$$Lu(x) = \int \delta_x u(h) \, d\nu_x(h)$$

Let u be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } D\\ u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D \end{cases}$$

Problem: Under what circumstances do we know that

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C ||f||_{L^{p}(D)}$$

Cafarelli-Silvestre (2009): Consider an operator of the form

$$Lu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_x u(h) \frac{a(h,h)}{|h|^{d+\alpha}} dh, \ \lambda \le a(x,h) \le \Lambda$$
$$a(x,h) = a(x,-h)$$

Then, given $Lu \leq f$ in B_1 and $u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1$

$$\|u_-\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \lesssim_{d,\lambda,\Lambda} \left(\sum_j \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(Q_j)}^d |Q_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

where Q_j is a special family of cubes covering of the set Γ_u .

Lastly, we recall the result with Schwab (2012): Consider an operator of the form

$$Lu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_x u(h) \frac{(A(x)\hat{h}, \hat{h})}{|h|^{d+\alpha}} \, dh, \ \mathrm{tr}(A(x)) \ge \lambda$$

Then,

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\{u=\Gamma_{\alpha,u})\})}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{d}(\{u=\Gamma_{\alpha,u})\})}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

where $C = C(d, \lambda, \alpha, D).$

To every continuous, bounded $u: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ we associate a map,

$$G_u : \mathbb{R}^d \to C^0_*(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{ \phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \phi(0) = 0 \}$$

defined by

$$G_u(x)(y) = u(x+y) - u(x), \ \forall \ y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

This map is a kind of generalized gradient map.

For a generic smooth u, the image $G_u(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a d-dimensional manifold living inside $C^0_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$

The derivative of G_u at some x corresponds to the vector field

$$h \mapsto \nabla u(x+h) - \nabla u(x),$$

in the sense that given $e \in (T\mathbb{R}^d)_x$ we have

$$(DG_u)_x e = (\nabla u(x+h) - \nabla u(x), e)$$

In particular, a general linear functional ℓ of DG_u has the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla u(x+h) - \nabla u(x) \cdot d\boldsymbol{\nu}(h)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a vector measure.

Lemma

Given a Lévy operator,

$$L(u,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_x u(y) \ \nu(dy)$$

There is a linear functional ℓ over the space of operators $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto C^0_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$L(u, x) = \ell((DG_u)_x)$$

In such a case, we shall say ℓ is a **positive** functional.

A rough idea of the proof

Given $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the *d*-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the manifold $G_u(D)$ is equal to

$$\int_D J((DG_u)_x) \, dx$$

here, J(M) denotes the Jacobian

$$J(M) := \left(\frac{1}{\omega_d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \|Mx\|_X^{-d} \, d\sigma(x)\right)^{-1}$$

defined for any linear map $M : \mathbb{R}^d \to C^0_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$\mathbf{Question}:$

Is there a result akin to Aleksandrov's estimate for convex functions that involves the integral

$$\int_D J(DG_u(x)) \ dx \ ?$$



Thank you!

Comments / Questions / Suggestions nestor@txstate.edu